### Theoretical Foundations

## Empirical Implications of Theoretical Models Summer Institute 2017, Collegio Carlo Alberto

Thomas Bräuninger University of Mannheim

June 29 – July 01, 2017

### Course details:

Thursday, June 29, 9:30am-12:00am, 2:30pm-5:00pm Friday, June 30, 9:30am-12:00am, 2:30pm-5:00pm Saturday, July 1, 9:30am-12:00am, 2:30pm-5:00pm Collegio Carlo Alberto, Via Real Collegio 30, Moncalieri (Turin), room tba

#### **Instructor:**

Thomas Bräuninger University of Mannheim

Email: thomas.braeuninger@uni-mannheim.de

Web Page: http://www.tbraeuninger.de

One of the center goals of the EITM program is to promote theoretically informed empirical research. Game theory is a primary tool for building rigorous theories because politics most often involve strategic interactions, which lend themselves to game-theoretic analysis. For example, challengers in a parliamentary or congressional race will consider the incumbents' response to such a challenge when deciding whether to run for candidacy or not. Likewise, rebel groups will consider the ability and willingness of an autocrat to fight a rebellion when challenging him. At the same time, standard introductions to game theory often imply that such theories generate only point predictions, unsuitable for testing.

In the theoretical foundations seminar we first review basic ingredients of game-theoretic

models. We then look at important varieties of rational choice models, specifically non-cooperative game theory and spatial voting models, in a form that emphasizes the techniques by which these models can be used to generate testable implications through comparative statics analysis and the analysis of parameter variations across a population.

Prerequisites and required reading: I assume that you are familiar with school level maths that is set theory, calculus, basics of matrix algebra, and probability theory. I also assume that you know basics of game theory. In any case, you should make yourself familiar with the topics covered in the first three sessions by having a close look at what I refer to as required reading. Below I list a number of textbooks in game theory that can be used for that purpose. As all these books cover the main topics in some depth, it does not matter which book you catch and use. You should read these materials prior to arriving at the summer institute. In sessions 4 to 6 we do stuff that is not well covered in textbooks. For each session I list just one piece of required reading that introduces the methods we discuss in class. I do not expect you to master these topics in advance. We will talk about these more advanced methods in class in detail. But have a look at the reading before so that we can use time in class most effectively.

### Literature (pick one or two):

- McCarty, Nolan/Adam Meirowitz. 2007. *Political Game Theory*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
  - Comprehensive introduction into game theory for political scientists using a consistent framework of concepts and notations. Many political science examples. Still my favorite. Much of my notation in class will rely on theirs.
- Tadelis, Steven. 2013. *Game Theory: An Introduction*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
  - Excellent introduction written by an economist. Many examples address economic questions but this does no harm.
- Morrow, James. 1994. Game Theory for Political Scientists. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
  - Good to read. Good balance between maths and text albeit a little bit outdated.
- Osborne, Martin J. 2003. An Introduction to Game Theory. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
  - See comment on Tadelis.
- Dixit, Avinash K., Susan Skeath, and David H. Reiley. 2009. *Games of Strategy*. 3. ed. New York: Norton.
  - This is an easy to read albeit somewhat lengthy introduction.

• Gibbons, Robert. 1992. Game Theory for Applied Economists. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

See comment on Dixit.

### Course outline:

We meet for three morning and three afternoon sessions.

# 1. Thursday, 9:30am-12:00am: Normal Form Games and Comparative Statics

Normal-form games, best response strategies, Nash equilibrium, comparative statics, mixed strategies, continuous strategies, refinements

**Required reading:** McCarty/Meirowitz ch. 2, Tadelis ch. 3-6, Morrow ch. 4, or Osborne ch. 2

### 2. Thursday, 2:30pm-5:00pm: Sequential Games with Perfect Information

Sequential games, subgames, information sets, subgame perfect equilibrium

**Required reading:** McCarty/Meirowitz ch. 7, Tadelis ch. 7-9, Morrow ch. 3&5, or Osborne ch. 5

### 3. Friday, 9:30am-12:00am: Sequential Games with Imperfect Information

Bayes' rule, weak consistency, Bayesian perfect equilibrium, signaling games, pooling and separating equilibria, cheap talk, delegation, adverse selection and moral hazard

Required reading: McCarty/Meirowitz ch. 8, Tadelis ch. 15&16, Morrow ch. 6&8, or Osborne ch. 10

### 4. Friday, 2:30pm-5:00pm: Random Utility Models and Structural Estimation

Structural and reduced-form estimation, statistical discrete choice models, strategic and non-strategic models, quantal response equilibrium

**Required reading:** Curtis S. Signorino. 2003. Structure and Uncertainty in Discrete Choice Models. Political Analysis 11(4): 316-344.

### 5. Saturday, 9:30am-12:00am: Comparative Statics

Reminder of previous session, mathematical foundations for comparative statics, implicit differentiation

Required reading: Sydsaeter, Kurt/Peter Hammond. 2008. Essential Mathematics for Economic Analysis. Harlow: Prentice Hall, ch. 7.1, 12.1-12.3.

### 6. Saturday, 2:30pm-5:00pm: Monotone Comparative Statics

Single-crossing condition, supermodularity, monotone comparative statics

Required reading: Ashworth, Scott/Ethan Bueno de Mesquita. 2005. Monotone Comparative Statics for Models of Politics. American Journal of Political Science 50(1):214-231.

June 7, 2017